			Application Number			09/895,954		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date			June 29, 2001				
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named In	ventor		Lyle S. Corbin		
			Group Art Uni	t		2154		
			Confirmation No.:			8107		
			Examiner Nam	Examiner Name			Nguyen, Dustin	
☐ Sent via Express Mail Label No.:			Attorney Docket Number			150748.01		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
_			·	TT J/				
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached			nent Papers Application)			After Allowanc	e Communication to TC	
Amendment / Reply (pages)		Drawin	•			Appeal Commu Appeals and Int	nication to Board of erferences	
☐ After Final ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)		Declara				Appeal Commu	nication to TC	
☐ Extension of Time Request		A co	py from a prior application CFR 1.63(d)) (pages)			Proprietary Info	ormation	
Express Abandonment Request		•				Status Letter		
Information Disclosure Statement with		Petition	ng-related Papers			Application Data Sheet		
Form PTO/SB/08A (pages) Response to Notice to File Missing Parts						Request for Cor	rected Filing Receipt	
A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5		Petition Applica	to Convert to a Provisional tion			Return Receipt	Postcard	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a))			l Power of Attorne CFR 3.73(b) Stater		⊠	Other Enclosu below):	re(s) (please identify	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:			1 Disclaimer			☑ Issue Fee Transmittal☑ Comments on Statement of		
		Request	st for Refund	for Dafund		Reasons for Allowance		
		•	for Refund					
January 14, 2009 Date /Noemi Tovar/ Signature		CD, Nu	ımber of CD(s)					
<u>Noemi Tovar</u> Printed Name	Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-0463 for the above identified patent application.							
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT								
Signature /Henry Gabryjelski/ Reg. I			No.	62,828				
Name of Attorney or Agent Henry		r Gabryjelski						
Date January 14, 2009	Tel	. [(425) 703-811	16	Fa	csimile No.	(425) 708-5046	
Assignee Name:	MICROSOFT ONE MICROS REDMOND, V							

22971

Customer Number:

First Named Inventor: Lyle S. Corbin Attorney Docket No.: 150748.01

Application No.: 09/895,954 Group Art Unit: 2154 Filed: June 29, 2001 Confirmation Number: 8107

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: DUSTIN NGUYEN

Title: MULTI-THREADED SYSTEM FOR ACTIVATING A PROCESS USING A

SCRIPT ENGINE AND PUBLISHING DATA DESCRIPTIVE OF THE

STATUS OF THE PROCESS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance may reiterate the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Office Action(s).

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are

included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to

Respectfully submitted,

Microsoft Corporation

Date: January 14, 2009

file.

By: /Henry Gabryjelski/

Henry Gabryjelski, Reg. No.: 62,828 Direct telephone 425–703–8116 Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

January 14, 2009	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar